1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	et ig	
4	August 20, 20 Concord, New	
5		NHPUC SEP05'13 An10:06
6 [*]	RE:	DW 12-355 DOCKHAM SHORES ESTATES WATER COMPANY, INC.
8		Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules.
9		
10	PRESENT:	Chairman Amy L. Ignatius, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Michael D. Harrington
11		
12	" James .	Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	Mar ne day	
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Dockham Shores Estates Water Company: David W. Jordan, Esq.
15	7:7-11-80: 12-	
16		Reptg. PUC Staff: Marcia A. Brown, Esq.
17	i disport of the	Mark Naylor, Director/Gas & Water Division Jayson Laflamme, Gas & Water Division
18		Robyn Descoteau, Gas & Water Division
19	is male of \$ 4	
20	Maria de França de Caractería	
21		
22		
23	Coı	art Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1			
2		INDEX	
3			PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL:		
5		ROBYN DESCOTEAU	
6	Direct examina	tion by Ms. Brown	9, 34
7	Interrogatorie	s by Cmsr. Harrington	28, 34
8	Interrogatorie	s by Cmsr. Scott	36
9			
10		* * *	
11			
12		EXHIBITS	
13	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
14	1	Initial tariff filing of Dockham	5
14 15		Initial tariff filing of Dockham Shores Estates Water Co., includi tariff pages & attachments (02-11	ng
		Shores Estates Water Co., includi	ng
15	1	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11 Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled	ng -13)
15 16	2	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11 Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13)	ng -13) 5
15 16 17	2	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11 Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled	ng -13) 5
15 16 17 18	2	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11) Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled "Tariff Analysis" * * *	ng -13) 5
15 16 17 18 19	1 2 3	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11) Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled "Tariff Analysis" * * *	ng -13) 5 8
15 16 17 18 19 20	1 2 3	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11 Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled "Tariff Analysis" * * * ENTS BY:	ng -13) 5 8 PAGE NO.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1 2 3	Shores Estates Water Co., includitariff pages & attachments (02-11) Stipulation Agreement (08-12-13) Two-page chart entitled "Tariff Analysis" * * * ENTS BY: Ms. Brown	ng -13) 5 8 PAGE NO. 40

{DW 12-355} {08-20-13}

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. I'd like
3	to open the hearing today in Docket DW 12-355. This is
4	Dockham Shores Estates Water Company. And, pursuant to a
5	procedural schedule that's already been approved and
6	publicly noted, today we are going to be hearing argument
7	and testimony regarding a settlement agreement that's been
8	proposed, that was filed on August 12th, 2013.
9	So, let's begin first with appearances.
10	MR. JORDAN: Good morning,
11	Commissioners. I'm David Jordan. I appear for the
12	Company. And, with me is Colin Robertson, the president
13	of the Company.
14	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning.
15	MS. BROWN: Good morning, Commissioners.
16	Marcia Brown, on behalf of Staff. And, with me today is
17	Mark Naylor, Robyn Descoteau, and Jayson Laflamme.
18	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning. Is
19	there anything to take up on administrative details before
20	we begin with presentation of the Settlement Agreement?
21	MS. BROWN: Well, I'd like to describe
22	what we'd like to do for a presentation.
23	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good.

 ${\tt MS.}$ ${\tt BROWN:}$ With the Stipulation

```
1
       Agreement, we'd like to have Mr. Robertson and Ms.
 2
       Descoteau as a panel, and have questions from Staff and
 3
       Attorney Jordan. Staff and the Company have agreed to
       identification of certain exhibits. So, we'd like to go
 4
       over those at this time.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
 7
                         MS. BROWN: The initial filing, which
 8
       you have before you, we would like to have marked for
 9
       identification as "Exhibit 1". That is the entirety of
10
       Tab 3 on the Commission's docketbook.
11
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Help us with that,
12
       since that's not how we have it in our materials.
13
       the February 11th, 2013 filing?
14
                         MR. JORDAN: Yes.
15
                         MS. BROWN: Yes.
16
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. And,
17
       that looks like it runs sequentially 1 through 50 --
18
                         MS. BROWN: Fifty-five.
19
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Fifty-five?
20
                         MS. BROWN: Yes.
21
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good. And, thank
22
       you for numbering it. A lot of people forget to do that.
23
       All right. So, we'll mark that for identification as
24
       "Exhibit 1".
```

```
1
                         (The document, as described, was
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for
 2
 3
                         identification.)
 4
                         MS. BROWN: Exhibit 2, we propose the
 5
       Stipulation Agreement, without the cover sheet. And, we
 6
      provided a copy of that agreement to the Clerk.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. So
       marked.
 8
 9
                         (The document, as described, was
10
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 2 for
11
                         identification.)
12
                         MS. BROWN: Now, part of the Stipulation
13
       proposes revisions to the tariff. We do not have the
14
       existing tariff on file, but I have copies to leave with
15
       you. I don't see the need to mark it as an official
16
       exhibit, because it's something that's in the public
17
       record. But, if you wish to have it marked for
18
       identification as a separate exhibit, we can do that. But
19
       I also have copies of this, of the 1989 tariff.
20
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So, when you say
       "it's not on file", you don't mean it's -- it's not in
21
22
       this docket's filing, --
23
                         MS. BROWN: Correct.
24
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: -- but it is on file
```

```
1
       with the Commission and available?
 2
                         MS. BROWN: Yes.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Okay. Unless you
 4
       expect us to need to flip between the old and the new, I
 5
       don't see a reason to make it an exhibit here.
 6
                         MS. BROWN: We were going to walk
 7
       through sections that had changed, just to put them into
 8
       the record, so that you would have a general knowledge of
 9
       what changes have occurred and what had remained the same.
10
       So, yes, some of my questions were going to do a
11
       side-by-side comparison, but not in great detail.
12
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. And,
13
       that's what's on the Bench here?
14
                         MS. BROWN: Oh. Yes, I quess I already
15
       handed that out to you.
16
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
                                             Okay.
17
                         MS. BROWN: I forgot about that.
18
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Well,
19
       then -- so, the only question really is do we -- we'll
       have it available to look at, do we need to make it an
20
21
       exhibit? I don't see a reason to make it an exhibit,
22
       unless anyone has got a concern with that?
23
                         (No verbal response)
24
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
```

```
1
                         MS. BROWN: No. Okay. Thank you.
                         Then, for Exhibit 3 then, we'd like to
 2
 3
       mark a Excel spreadsheet entitled "Tariff Analysis" that
 4
       Staff had done. That's before you. And, that completes
 5
       what we propose for exhibits in this docket.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. And,
 7
       that's a two-page back-to-back sheet that we've been given
 8
       copies of?
                         MS. BROWN: Yes. It's a one-sided --
 9
10
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Actually, the second
11
       side looks like the same as the first side.
12
                         MS. BROWN: Yes, I guess --
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, no.
                                                        They're
14
       different.
                   They're different.
15
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Yes, there's different
16
       numbers.
17
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes, there's an extra
18
       column that says "Impact" on the first one.
19
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Yes. The numbers are
20
       different.
21
                         MS. BROWN: One moment please.
22
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Sure. Steve, let's
23
       go off the record.
24
                         (Off the record.)
```

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. We're
 2
       back on the record.
 3
                         MS. BROWN: Yes. With respect to
 4
       Exhibit 3, it is a two-sided document, with a header for
 5
       this particular docket, but also entitled "Tariff
 6
       Analysis".
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, help me out.
 8
       Should the first side -- one has four columns and one has
 9
       three columns. One shows "Impact". Is that the first or
10
       the second page, the "Impact" side?
                         MS. DESCOTEAU: You can use the "Impact"
11
12
       side, the one that's the four-column side.
13
                         MS. BROWN: The first page would be the
14
       four-column side, with the "Impact" noted.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
16
                         MS. BROWN: Thank you.
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We'll mark that as
17
18
       "Exhibit --
19
                         MS. BROWN: Three.
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: -- 3".
20
21
                         (The document, as described, was
22
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 3 for
23
                         identification.)
24
                         MS. BROWN: So, at this point, we're
```

ready to assemble the panel. 1 2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. 3 why don't you go ahead and get the witnesses seated and 4 sworn. 5 (Whereupon Colin F. Robertson and 6 Robyn Descoteau were duly sworn by the 7 Court Reporter.) COLIN F. ROBERTSON, SWORN 8 9 ROBYN DESCOTEAU, SWORN 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. BROWN: 12 Good morning. Ms. Descoteau, I'd like to start with Q. 13 If you could please state your name and position 14 with the Commission. 15 Α. (Descoteau) My name is Robyn Descoteau. And, I'm a 16 Utility Examiner -- Utility Analyst with the New 17 Hampshire PUC. 18 Q. And, what do you consider to be your area of expertise? 19 (Descoteau) I am --Α. 20 Well, let me ask another question. 21 (Descoteau) No. Finance and accounting. Α. 22 And, do you consider the work that you perform here at Q.

(Descoteau) Yes, I do.

the Commission to be within that area of expertise?

23

24

Α.

- 1 Q. And, will your testimony today be within that area of expertise?
- 3 A. (Descoteau) Yes, it will.
- 4 Q. And, can you please briefly describe your involvement with this docket?
- A. (Descoteau) I reviewed and evaluated and analyzed the
 schedules, the intent to file, the testimony, the rate
 schedules, the audit report, the data requests involved
 with this case.
- Q. And, we've marked for identification a series of exhibits, and 1 being the initial filing. Did you review the initial filing?
- 13 A. (Descoteau) I did.
- Q. And, Exhibit 2 was the Stipulation Agreement. Did you participate in that Stipulation?
- 16 A. (Descoteau) Yes, I did.
- Q. And, Exhibit 3 was a tariff analysis. Are you familiar with that document?
- 19 A. (Descoteau) I am.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Robertson, I'd like to have you state your name and business address on the record please.
- A. (Robertson) Okay. My name is Colin Robertson. And,
 business address is 361 Weirs Road, W-e-i-r-s, Gilford,
 New Hampshire 03249.

- 1 Q. And, can you please describe your relationship with
 2 Dockham Shores?
- A. (Robertson) My relationship is I'm the president of
 Dockham Shore Estates Water Company and the licensed
 operator.
- Q. And, Mr. Robertson, with respect to Exhibit 1, the initial filing, can you please explain your involvement with that document?
- 9 A. (Robertson) The document being the Stipulation?
- 10 Q. The initial filing.
- 11 A. (Robertson) Okay. That's the February 11th? Yes.

 12 Okay.
- 13 Q. Yes, that document.
- 14 A. (Robertson) My involvement with that, we applied for a
 15 rate change, and that was part of the correspondence.
 16 And, I read it and reviewed it, and I agree with it.
- And, like I said, I've been involved with it, with the document, since it was issued.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Robertson, with respect to this docket, did you participate in discovery with Staff?
- 21 A. (Robertson) I have a hearing problem. So, we may have
 22 a -- I have trouble understanding some of these
 23 questions.
- Q. My apologies. Did you respond to discovery requests on

- 1 behalf of the Company?
- 2 A. (Robertson) I did, yes.
- 3 Q. And, did you also respond to audit --
- 4 A. (Robertson) I did, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you recall the last time Dockham
 Shores has been in for a rate case?
- A. (Robertson) I think it was 1989, when we first appeared before the Board for a rate change. And, that was the first time we had a set rate. And, we haven't been back since. That's 25 years ago.
- 11 Q. Would you agree that the Company is due for a rate increase at this point?
- 13 A. (No verbal response).
- Q. Let me move on, another question. Mr. Robertson, in
 the initial filing there is testimony that begins on
 Page 41, your testimony. Do you remember this
 testimony?
- 18 A. (Robertson) I don't -- do you have a copy of this document?
- 20 (Witness Descoteau showing document to Witness Robertson.)
- 22 BY THE WITNESS:
- 23 A. (Robertson) The question is "Do I recall this testimony?"

1 BY MS. BROWN:

- Q. Well, I just wanted to make sure you had it in front of you. My real question is, at the time that you created this testimony, was it true and accurate at the time?
- 5 A. (Robertson) It's true, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have some of the issues that you discussed in your testimony been superseded by the Stipulation Agreement?
- 9 A. (Robertson) I think the Stipulation Agreement has dealt with all the issues.
- 11 Q. All right.
- 12 A. (Robertson) I guess.
- Q. And, I'd like to ask you, Mr. Robertson, a question
 about compliance with Department of Environmental
 Services. And, can you just explain whether you have
 any outstanding administrative orders or letters of
 deficiency with DES?
- A. (Robertson) Yes. No, we have none. We've dealt with
 all our deficiency requests last November, I think it
 was when we dealt with it. And, there are no
 outstanding issues with DES --
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. (Robertson) -- at the moment.
- Q. Does DES perform regular sanitary surveys on Dockham

1 Shores?

19

- 2 A. (Robertson) Yeah, they do.
- Q. And, do you have any capital improvements suggested in that sanitary survey?
- 5 A. (Robertson) No. None that we haven't dealt with.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any capital improvements planned in the next year?
- 8 Α. (Robertson) Yes. We need to -- we need to add one more 9 float. We have a problem with our control on the well 10 pumps. Right now, the well pumps alternate. We either 11 have one or the other. And, there are times when we 12 need to have both pumps on at the same time. In order 13 to do that, we need to add another float in the tank 14 and add a relay in the control panel at the wellhead. 15 And, that's not an expensive process. It's just --16 it's a time-consuming process. So, we're -- I'm trying 17 to get it done right now. I'd like to do it tomorrow 18 or today. But we will certainly do it this year.
 - Q. Do you expect to need any financing for that capital improvement?
- A. (Robertson) It shouldn't be an expensive process, and
 I'd like to handle it out of the revenue that we
 receive.
- 24 Q. Okay. Thank you. Ms. Descoteau?

- 1 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 2 Q. I'd like to have you turn to the Stipulation Agreement.
- And, are you aware of any corrections or changes that
- 4 ought to be made to this document?
- 5 A. (Descoteau) No, I'm not.
- 6 Q. Ms. Descoteau, could I have you turn to Page 2.
- 7 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 8 Q. And, I'd like to draw your attention to Paragraph B,
- 9 "Customer Rate Impact".
- 10 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 11 Q. Fifth line down has a usage rate.
- 12 A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. Can you please explain what unit that usage rate is in?
- 14 A. (Descoteau) That is per 100 gallons.
- 15 Q. Can I have you turn to the last page of the Stipulation
- Agreement. And, there are rates noted on the tariff
- 17 attachment?
- 18 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 19 Q. You see that? Are those rates the rates that Staff and
- the Company are proposing?
- 21 A. (Descoteau) No. Those are the old rates. Those will
- 22 need to be adjusted.
- 23 Q. Okay. Returning to Page 2, regarding the "revenue
- requirement", do you see that in Paragraph A?

- 1 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- Q. And, can you please summarize what revenue requirement
 Staff and the Company are proposing?
- A. (Descoteau) The Staff is proposing an annual revenue
 requirement of \$41,042, based on test year rate base of
 66,877, with total operating expenses of 34,622, and
 the overall rate of return of 9.6 percent, yielding the
 operating income requirement of 6,420.
- 9 Q. What test year is the revenue requirement based on?
- 10 A. (Descoteau) 2011.
- 11 Q. So, has Staff made adjustments to that test year to
 12 come up with this revenue requirement?
- 13 A. (Descoteau) Yes, it has.
- Q. Before I forget, I'd like to ask you, was there an audit done of the Company's books and records?
- A. (Descoteau) Yes. The Audit Staff here at the

 Commission performed a comprehensive audit. And, the

 audit report was 38 pages. And, there were 14 issues

 that were found within the audit that were addressed.
- Q. Were some of those issues relating to the revenue requirement?
- 22 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- Q. And, did you incorporate those issues in this recommended revenue requirement?

- A. (Descoteau) Yes. They were incorporated either within the revenue requirement or within the stipulation requirement in Part D.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. With respect to some of the adjustments, I'd like to have you draw the Commission's attention to some of the ones you felt were important.

 And, I believe this is going to be on one of the later schedules.
- A. (Descoteau) Yes. If you could turn to Page 9, Bates
 Page 9. It's Attachment A, Schedule 2a.
- 11 Q. Thank you.

- 12 A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh.
 - Q. I just wanted to have you explain, because as I was going through some of these proforma adjustments, there were some items being added and then deleted. And, I thought, if there was any confusion, you could clarify that?
 - A. (Descoteau) Yes. The first one I'd like to bring to your attention is Adjustments 2, and related to that is Adjustment 5, they're both for \$22,212. What had happened was the Company had retired a pump in 2011, which it didn't record until 2012. The pump was fully depreciated. So, this adjustment retires the pump. So, in Adjustment 2, it's taking it out of plant in

service for that negative amount. And, in Adjustment 5, it's adjusting the accumulated depreciation, which is a positive amount. So, it's just why it looks like it's an in-and-out. It's just the accounting process of I'm taking it out of plant in service and adjusting the accumulated depreciation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Ms. Descoteau, I'd like to draw your attention to

 Page 10 and the hydrofracking adjustment. Can you

 please explain why Staff supported that adjustment?
- (Descoteau) Okay. When I was looking at the expenses Α. for the Company, for Dockham Shores, I noticed that there were a lot of expenses related to hydrofracking. And, the Company had attempted to drill a well and were looking for water. And, the hydrofracking ended up being unproductive. And, the total cost of this was \$7,357. So, I decided that it was more product -- more efficient, not "efficient", but more correct to have that as amortization. So, I took it out of expenses, and you could also see that on Page 13, on Attachment A, Schedule 3a. I actually have two Adjustment 10s. So, I kind of sense that I can call that "10a". Where I took the \$7,357 out of expenses. And, then, on Schedule 2a, Page 2, which is Bates Page 10, you can see Adjustment 7 and 9, where I took the hydrofracking

costs and amortized them over five years. We took a year worth of the expense for amortization expense in Adjustment 7, and Adjustment 9 records for 73 -
(Court reporter interruption.)

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Descoteau) Oh. Adjustment 9 is the hydrofracking amortization, 7,357, less one year amortization expense, to record 5,886, which the Company will continue to amortize over the next four years.

BY MS. BROWN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Thank you. Ms. Descoteau, I'd like to ask you a question about rate base. That appears on your Schedule 2, which is Page 8 of the Stipulation document. Do you have an opinion as to whether the plant equipment and capital improvements represented in this rate base are used and useful in the provision of service to customers?
- 18 A. (Descoteau) Yes. They are used and useful.
- Q. And, some of the items in rate base were subject to the audit, is that correct?
- 21 A. (Descoteau) They were all audited.
- Q. Thank you. Going back to Page 3 of the Stipulation
 Agreement, there are a series of items under the
 Uniform System of Accounts, such as "records", "general

- numbering", "continuing property records"?
- 2 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- Q. With respect to the January 1, 2013 deadline for records and general numbering, and knowing that today is August, how is it -- can you just please explain how the Company will be complying with this passed deadline?
- 8 A. (Descoteau) That appears to be a typo.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. (Descoteau) It should be "2014".
- 11 Q. Okay. Is it that they would be using their books and
 12 records for the calendar year 2013, and that's all that
 13 this deadline or this date is meant to suggest?
- 14 A. (Descoteau) Can you repeat the question?
- Q. For records, and with the fiscal year beginning

 January 1, 2013, am I correct in the statement that the

 Company would be taking its 2013 books and records and

 being compliant, rather than having compliance

 effective January 2014?
- 20 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, I didn't mean to confuse you. But, when you said that there was a "typo", that's not a typo, correct? I'm sorry. The 2000 -- when you had me correct the "2013" to "2014", that is not a typo. It

- actually should be "2013", would you agree?
- 2 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- Q. Okay. Does the Staff have any concerns with the

 Company meeting these deadlines with respect to

 records, general numbering, and continuing property

 records?
- 7 A. (Descoteau) Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Does Staff have any concerns with the Company meeting these deadlines?
- 10 A. (Descoteau) No, it doesn't.
- 11 Q. Thank you. Ms. Descoteau, did you review the proposed changes to the tariff?
- 13 A. (Descoteau) I did.
- 14 Q. Can you please describe what you did?
- 15 Α. (Descoteau) I read through the tariff, and I compared 16 it to three other larger tariffs that I was familiar 17 with, to make sure it was consistent with those 18 tariffs. And, then, I spoke with the Consumer Affairs 19 Division on a couple of them that were different that I wasn't familiar with, and determined that they were 20 21 consistent. And, then, I also compared it with a 22 fourth that it was almost identical with that had been 23 approved with the Commission, approved by the 24 Commission.

- Q. What were the companies and the tariffs you compared to? Did you already state that?
- A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh. I compared it with Hampstead,

 Pittsfield, Aquarion, and the one it's identical with

 is Lakeland.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to the reasonableness of the proposed changes?
- 8 A. (Descoteau) I feel they're reasonable, fair, and just.
- 9 Q. Do you have Exhibit 3 in front of you, the "Tariff
 10 Analysis"?
- 11 A. (Descoteau) I do.
- 12 Q. And, did you create this document?
- 13 A. (Descoteau) I did.
- Q. And, can you explain how you used this in your analysis of the tariffs?
- A. (Descoteau) What I did was I created the spreadsheets
 that I could compare it to see how the proposed
 compared to other utilities, and make sure that the
 proposed rates were comparable to those of other
 utilities. And, that they were fair.
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. What did you just say?
- 22 A. (Descoteau) That they were fair.
- 23 Q. That you felt -- concluded they were fair?
- MS. BROWN: Just a minute.

```
1
                         (Atty. Brown conferring with Mr.
 2
                         Naylor.)
    BY MS. BROWN:
 3
          Ms. Descoteau, I just want to clarify that, when you
 4
 5
          made this comparison, you were looking at the non water
 6
          rates?
 7
          (Descoteau) I was looking at the non water rates,
 8
          correct.
 9
          Okay. Thank you.
     Q.
10
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: I'm sorry. Could you
11
       repeat that, I didn't understand? The "non water rates",
12
       is that what was said?
13
                         WITNESS DESCOTEAU: The first two items
14
       on the list of numbers are directly related to the water
       -- the water rates.
15
16
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the
17
       first two", we're talking about --
18
                         WITNESS DESCOTEAU: Refers to the
19
       "customer charge" and the "usage rates", --
20
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
21
                         WITNESS DESCOTEAU: Are part of the rate
22
       base. All the other charges, from "back" -- "test
       backflow preventer" down, are all not included in rate
23
24
       base.
```

```
1
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
                                            Okay.
 2
                         WITNESS DESCOTEAU: They were all just
 3
       other services that are nonregulated.
     BY MS. BROWN:
 4
 5
     Q.
          I just want to clarify, when you said "rate base", you
          meant "revenue requirement", correct?
 6
          (Descoteau) Revenue, yes, revenue requirement. Sorry.
 7
     Α.
          Okay. When you looked at the proposed changes to the
 8
 9
          tariff and compared it to the old tariff, did it look
10
          to you that these tariff provisions were largely the
11
          same?
12
          (Descoteau) Yes.
     Α.
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'm sorry. What was
14
       largely the same as what? I didn't follow the question.
                         MS. BROWN: Yes, that's not the best way
15
16
       of asking it. And, perhaps I should make an offer of
17
       proof. That, when Staff was taking a look at the
18
       document, there didn't seem to be wholesale changes to
19
       paragraphs. As we were going through the terms and
20
       conditions, the paragraphs were largely the same. There
21
       was in -- on Page 11 of the proposed tariff, for instance,
22
       under Paragraph 7, "Restricted Use of Water", they just
```

simply eliminated the reference to the "flat rate",

because they don't have a flat rate. They're on a

23

- 1 volumetric -- well, they do have a fixed component to it,
- 2 but they don't have a traditional flat rate. So, they
- 3 eliminated language like that. But kept the essence of
- 4 restricted use of water in the paragraph similar. So,
- 5 that's what I was trying to get out of the testimony.
- 6 It's probably easier just to explain it that way.
- 7 BY MS. BROWN:
- 8 Q. Ms. Descoteau, I want to continue questioning with you
- 9 regarding rate impact. And, that's on Page 2. Did you
- 10 calculate out this proposed rate?
- 11 A. (Descoteau) Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And, how many customer classes does the Company have?
- 13 A. (Descoteau) Just one.
- 14 Q. Mr. Robinson -- or, Robertson, can I ask you a question
- about your customer count?
- 16 A. (Robertson) Yes.
- 17 Q. Yeah, I swore, prior to the hearing, I wasn't going to
- 18 call him "Robin" -- "Mr. Robinson", but, Mr. Robertson,
- can you explain whether the Company will be taking on
- 20 new customers?
- 21 A. (Robertson) Okay. We don't have any plan at the moment
- 22 to take on any new customers.
- 23 Q. So, the customer count used in the Stipulation is going
- 24 to remain the same, is that correct?

- A. (Robertson) There are several vacant lots. And, you know, in the current market, there's not much activity for the lots. So that, at the moment, everything is going to stay as it is right there.
- Q. Thank you. Ms. Descoteau, do you have an opinion as to the rates, whether Staff feels they are just and reasonable?
 - A. (Descoteau) Yes. I believe they're just and reasonable.

2

3

4

8

9

23

- 10 Q. Mr. Robertson, could you please describe when the
 11 Company will be issuing its next bills?
- 12 (Robertson) Next bill will go out at the end of the Α. 13 third quarter, which is 30 September. But, as far as 14 issuing the bills, we have a process that involves the 15 Town of Gilford reading the meters, because they need 16 the meter readings to charge for the use of the sewer. And, so, they give me their readings. And, I can't 17 18 issue the invoices until I have their readings. 19 Usually takes about a month for me to get the readings. 20 So, it's very often October 30th before I would have --21 be able to do the invoices and send them out. So, it 22 may be up to six weeks after the end of the quarter.
 - Q. So, for a rate increase that's effective in the Stipulation for July 1, will that be reflected in the

```
1 October --
```

- A. (Robertson) Yes. Yeah, that's the intent. My understanding.
- Q. Ms. Descoteau, I'd like to ask you a question about rate case expenses. There's a provision having the Company file its rate case expenses. Can you explain briefly what Staff will do once it receives that filing?
- 9 A. (Descoteau) Yes. Once the filing comes in, I plan to
 10 get all of the backup for the rate case expenses, and
 11 examine, evaluate, and analyze the costs, and make sure
 12 they're reasonable and just.
- Q. Will you be filing a recommendation with the Commission?
- 15 A. (Descoteau) Yes, I will.
- Q. So, Staff is not asking for the Commission to approve today this \$9,000 estimate at this time?
- 18 A. (Descoteau) That's correct.
- MS. BROWN: Okay. I think Staff and the Company are done with the panel at this point.
- Jordan, nothing?
- MR. JORDAN: No. As unusual, she's done
- a good job.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr.

- 1 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 Any questions from Commissioner Harrington?
- 3 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. Just a couple
- 4 of clarifications I had, I was trying to get a couple
- 5 things straight.
- 6 BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
- 7 Q. Ms. Descoteau, earlier you said that, on the very last
- 8 page of Exhibit 2, which has got two numbers on it,
- 9 "34" and "20", that those were the old rates, not the
- 10 rates agreed to in the Settlement Agreement. That's
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. (Descoteau) That's correct.
- 13 Q. And, by "old", you meant the ones proposed by the
- 14 Company in Exhibit 1, and not the ones that are in
- 15 effect right now?
- 16 A. (Descoteau) Those are the ones that are in effect right
- now.
- 18 Q. Okay. Well, then, I'm a little confused.
- 19 A. (Descoteau) Aren't they?
- 20 Q. On Page 2 of the Settlement Agreement, it says the rate
- 21 | will be -- "the resulting quarterly customer rate
- charge will be 41.27." And, then, on that Page 34, it
- says "The rate of metered service shall include a
- customer charge per dwelling of 42.55 per quarter",

- 1 which is higher. And, then, the --
- 2 A. (Descoteau) It was the proposed. Sorry.
- 3 Q. Okay. So, it was the proposed rate.
- 4 A. (Descoteau) It was the proposed.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. (Descoteau) It was the proposed rate.
- 7 Q. So, we're clear on that then. Okay.
- 8 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, I had a question on the tariff itself. On Page 17, which is also marked "31".
- 11 A. (Descoteau) Yes.
- 12 And, it's on Section 17, and it's "Rights of Access". Q. 13 And, this may be boilerplate from some other ones, but 14 it seems to me this is a little bit intrusive. If I 15 read this correctly, that someone from the Company can 16 show up at any time they want, without any notice, and say "I'm here to inspect plumbing fixtures", and they 17 18 have to be let in. I mean, I wouldn't prefer that to 19 be done at my house, I can tell you that. Am I missing 20 something or is that indeed what it says? It says "Any 21 authorized Company representative shall have the right 22 to enter upon, and be permitted access to, the premises 23 served at any time to inspect the plumbing, fixtures or 24 appliances supplied with water; set, read, remove,

- replace or repair meters; inspect, maintain, repair or replace other utility property; and enforce these terms and conditions."
- There's no mention of, you know, having
 to give somebody 24 hours notice, --
- 6 A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. -- or not showing up during the middle of the night, or 8 whatever.
- 9 A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh.
- Q. So, I'm just curious as to why those words were put in there. Seemingly, that allows the Company to come in and say "I don't care if it's Christmas Eve. I want to inspect your plumbing. You've got to let me in." I'm not making any accusations that they would do that, please. But it just seems to me that there should be more protection for the customers in that provision.
- 17 A. (Descoteau) I can look into that.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. I just had a couple more. On
 Exhibit 3, I'm still trying to exactly figure out what
 this is. I think you referred to the part that has the
 block on the right where there's a dollar sign that
 says "Impact" as the first page.
- 23 A. (Descoteau) Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. So, looking at the first page, for example, under

- "Customer Charge", you have "Test Year 18.58",

 "Proposed 41.27", "Percent of Increase 122.1". Then,

 if you flip the page, you have "Test Year 18.58", which

 is the same, but the proposed is higher now, "42.55",

 and the percentage increase is "129". What does one

 refer to and what does the other refer to? I'm a

 little confused.
 - A. (Descoteau) They are both worksheets that were done at different times.

9

20

- 10 Well, which is the correct rate increase? Is it the --Q. 11 which one is going to go into effect? They both is a 12 "tariff analysis". So, is this of two different 13 tariffs or -- I'm just confused as to what the numbers 14 represent. In the Settlement Agreement, the 15 Stipulation Agreement, it does list "122.1" as the 16 percent increase. So, I'm assuming that Page 1 of 2 17 reflects the agreed upon in the Stipulation Agreement 18 as to the new rates. And, if that's the case, what 19 does Page 2 of 2 reflect?
 - A. (Descoteau) Neither of them actually have the agreed upon from the Stipulation Agreement.
- Q. Okay. Then, what is the purpose of Exhibit 3? Maybe

 Ms. Brown can help out on this.
- 24 A. (Descoteau) The purpose of this was to analyze the

- I was doing it more for analyzing all of the charges.

 And, when I got down towards the very end of the case,

 I didn't go back and update it for the final costs.
 - Q. Okay. But then you presented this --

- A. (Descoteau) Nothing changed, nothing changed from the third line down, after I did the tariff analysis.
- Q. Okay. This has been presented as an exhibit. I'm just trying to figure out what the exhibit is trying to show?

MS. BROWN: I'll jump in here. As Ms.

Descoteau testified, if you disregard the percentages for the customer charge and usage rate, the rest of that analysis is to document that Ms. Descoteau looked at the proposed charges, did a sanity check against other tariffs, to make sure that the charges weren't out of line with what other water utilities were charging. And, then, she also calculated the percent increase to show where things were jumping, where charges were jumping high, she could take extra scrutiny; for instance, the 400 percent increase, the 500 percent increase. But, then, when you look at the 400 percent increase, it's going from \$5.00 to 25. But, if you know going rates for disconnections — or, I'm sorry, insufficient funds charges, they're not

```
1
       $5.00 anymore. So, it was an aid to her when she was
 2
       looking at the rates. So, if you disregard the lack of
 3
       exactness with the percent increase for the top two lines
 4
       and what we finally arrived at in the Stipulation, this
 5
       tariff analysis is useful.
 6
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
                                                   I quess
 7
       I'11 --
 8
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Before we move on, I
 9
       just am lost by the explanation. If you compare, in the
10
       customer charge and the usage rate, on Page 1 of Exhibit
11
       3, those are the proposed numbers that appear in the
       Settlement Agreement. Unless I'm misreading this?
12
                         MS. BROWN: I was speaking to the
13
14
       usefulness of the document. And, also, Ms. Descoteau had
15
       testified that she didn't go back and change any of the --
16
       or, change the percent increases.
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, apart from
18
       that, I want to know, is this a reliable document to be
19
       able to say that the percent increase in the customer
20
       charge is 122.1 percent, and the same for the usage rate
21
       that we see on Page 1 of Exhibit 3?
22
                         MS. BROWN: Ms. Descoteau, do you want
23
       to correct your earlier statement that you did not go back
24
       or that these top two lines do not reflect what we've
```

1 agreed to in the Stipulation document on Page 2? 2 WITNESS DESCOTEAU: They do. 3 MS. BROWN: I can go back. I mean, if you want me to lead you through, I mean, as Chairman 4 5 Ignatius is pointing out. 6 WITNESS DESCOTEAU: Subject to check, I 7 do believe they agree with the Stipulation Agreement. 8 BY MS. BROWN: Well, let's just walk through it right now. You've got 9 10 a proposed customer charge of "\$41.27" that agrees with 11 Page 2 of the Stipulation Agreement, correct? 12 (Descoteau) Uh-huh. Α. And, then, you have the per 100 gallon usage rate of 13 14 \$1.0501, which also agrees with --15 Α. (Descoteau) Okay. It does agree with the Stipulation 16 Agreement. 17 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. 19 WITNESS DESCOTEAU: Thank you. 20 BY CMSR. HARRINGTON: 21 Okay. And, this would be a question for Mr. Robertson. Q. 22 We received a couple of letters from some of the 23 customers, and I just wanted to clarify a couple of

Can you hear me, sir?

24

issues.

- A. (Robertson) Yes. I hear you, yes.
- Q. A couple of issues that came up in those letters.

There was one from a Ms. Guyotte. And, in here she
says, "Since my water rates have been the same for the
past nine years", and yet earlier I thought it was
stated that "there hadn't been a rate increase since
1989." You think she's only lived in the house for

9 A. (Robertson) Yes.

nine years or --

10 Q. Okay. So, --

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 11 A. (Robertson) Yes. That house, and several others, have 12 changed hands over the 25 years that she's in.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. Another issue that was brought up in the same letter is she talks about the "succession plan of the water company". And, I'll just read you a brief excerpt from the letter. It says, "The covenants pertaining to the water have been attached. It states the developer, Colin Robertson, intends to convey the water system to Dockham Shores Association. When and how is this going to happen? What is the plan? The Company has been in place since 1976. Roads and beach lot have already been conveyed. What is the timeline for the water?" Do you have an answer to that question?

```
1
    Α.
          (Robertson) I don't have a copy of that letter in front
 2
          of me, but I recall the question. The water company
 3
          was offered to the Association this year, and they, at
 4
          their annual meeting this year, they voted, you know,
 5
          there was a motion to accept the water company, for the
 6
          Association to accept the water company; and they voted
 7
          it down, loudly. And, there's nobody in that
          Association that wants to take over that operation.
 8
 9
          So, in answer to her question, it is -- there is some
10
          confusion here. But I think -- I don't want to carry
11
          on too long about this. But, anyway, the answer is
12
          that the Association doesn't want this, the water
13
          system.
14
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. I think you've
15
       answered the question very well. Thank you. And, that's
16
       all I had.
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner Scott,
18
       questions?
19
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.
20
     BY CMSR. SCOTT:
21
          I'll just note, I'll ask the question, but, regarding
22
          the "rights of access" language, that the current
23
          language, tariff language in the Stipulation Agreement,
```

correct me if I'm wrong, is the exact same language

```
1
          that is in the 1996 -- excuse me, 1989 tariff, is that
 2
          correct?
 3
          (Descoteau) I'll have to check on that.
     Α.
 4
                         MR. JORDAN: Commissioner, both
 5
       Commissioner Harrington and Commissioner Scott, the
       section you're inquiring about, there's a word missing
 6
 7
       between "any" and "time". It's a typographical error.
 8
       The word "reasonable" goes in there. And, the Company
 9
       commits to change the tariffs supplied so as to insert
10
       "reasonable" between "any" and "time", in Section 17, the
11
       second line.
12
                                            Thank you.
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
13
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. And, I notice,
14
       on the older tariff, it does have the word "reasonable" in
15
       there.
16
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So, can we, I quess,
17
       reserve an exhibit for an amended tariff page that would
18
      make that, include that word "reasonable"?
19
                         MR. JORDAN: I was planning on including
20
       it in the compliance tariff.
21
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
22
       fine.
23
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.
24
     BY CMSR. SCOTT:
```

- Q. And, also, in the Stipulation, Page 2 and 3, Sections

 -- Section D talks about the "Uniform System of

 Accounts", and implied in there is that I assume the

 audit found that the Company isn't currently using the

 prescribed Uniform System of Accounts. Is that a true
- 7 A. (Descoteau) It's somewhat using it.
- Q. Okay. And, the Stipulation basically would require they come into full compliance?
- 10 A. (Descoteau) Correct.

statement?

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And, on that end, are there any issues with coming into compliance? I know it's, obviously, a small company.
- 14 A. (Descoteau) No. There are no issues.
- Q. So, there wouldn't be any great cost increases or anything?
- 17 A. (Descoteau) No. No cost issues.
- 18 CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. That's all I had.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I don't have any
 21 questions, but I want to put something on the record. I
 22 had looked at the customer letters, but not really tracked
 23 on the names. And, Mr. Harrington, when he read it aloud,
 24 I realized I have a personal relationship with the Guyotte

```
1
       family. So, and I apologize I didn't realize that
       beforehand that the letter was from that family. So, I
 2
 3
       will not participate in any of the deliberations or
       decision in this case.
 4
 5
                         Why don't we then -- any questions on
 6
       redirect, either from Mr. Jordan or Ms. Brown?
 7
                         MR. JORDAN: Not from me, ma'am.
 8
       you.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Brown?
10
                         MS. BROWN: None. None from me, from
11
       Staff. Thank you.
12
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then, the two of you
13
       are excused. But why don't you stay put right now while
14
       we wrap up.
                         And, is there any objection to striking
15
16
       the identification on the exhibits --
17
                         MR. JORDAN: None.
18
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: -- and make them
19
       full?
20
                         MS. BROWN: No objection.
21
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
22
       we'll do that.
23
                         The only thing left then, unless you
24
      have anything else to bring forward, would be an
```

opportunity for brief closing statements. Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: Thank you for your time today, Commissioners. At the prehearing, Staff had stated that it would do a soup-to-nuts review of this water utility, since it hadn't been in for a while, and we indeed did that. There was a full audit of its books and records. And, although there were many finds, they have been resolved or will be resolved in the Stipulation Agreement. So, Staff thinks that, if the Stipulation Agreement is abided by, then the Company will have full compliance with any of the audit finds that arose.

You heard that the Company is in compliance with Department of Environmental Services' standards, and has minor capital improvements anticipated, that it will fund out of its current cash flow or expected cash flow from the rate increase.

The audit of the books and records was able to support Ms. Descoteau's testimony that the rate base is prudent -- or, is used and useful in the provision of service, consistent with RSA 378:28.

With respect to the tariff, even though
Staff had taken a thorough look at it, sorry for the
oversight on the lack of the word "reasonableness". What
Staff proposes doing is, after the hearing, is going -- is

1	to go through one more time on this tariff to see if there
2	are any other issues that we neglected to pick up on, such
3	as the lack of "reasonableness". And, we'll file that
4	recommendation with the Commission so we have a
5	documentation of our recircling of reviewing the tariff.
6	With that, we request that the
7	Commission approve the proposed revenue requirement. We
8	believe that the rates that will be charged the single
9	customer class are just and reasonable. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr.
11	Jordan?
12	MR. JORDAN: As usual, she does such a
13	good job, I have nothing further.
14	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then,
15	unless there's anything further, we will take this under
16	advisement. As I said, I will not participate, but the
17	other two commissioners will do so. And, with that, we're
18	adjourned. Thank you very much.
19	(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
20	11:03 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	